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Event overview

The Royal Institution unconference for young people gives a voice to secondary school students (aged 15 – 18) to debate wider issues surrounding science and present their thoughts to policy makers, practitioners and scientists. The format of the event enables young people to be informed about key questions and evidence, but the emphasis is student led, with a definite focus on capturing their thoughts, experiences and recommendations for instigating change.

The Royal Institution’s goal has always been to encourage people to think more deeply about the wonders and applications of science. The Unconference allows the Royal Institution to extend this philosophy to young students considering entering STEM careers, making them aware of how STEM fits in with society, policy and culture, whilst also providing them with the opportunity to have their say on how these relationships should evolve in the future.

This year we chose to focus on equality in science and what this means for students studying STEM topics, their career choices and the role of STEM in society and culture. Participants were asked to think about diversity at school and in STEM careers, what barriers there were to certain individuals and whether or not diversity is important. The day was attended by 104 students from 12 schools, 10 state and 2 private, from across Greater London and the surrounding area. Students were invited by teachers and therefore self-selected as having shown an interest in STEM.

Key themes

Over the course of the day, four themes emerged from students’ discussions:

1. The under representation of women in STEM, in particular physics and engineering.
2. The education system and its role in pushing students towards STEM.
3. The view of STEM across different cultures.
4. Why is diversity in STEM important?
Event structure

Before the event, teachers were sent a series of survey questions (see appendix A) in order to gauge students' initial opinions on key areas. This allowed the event to be tailored towards the issues that students believed were most relevant with regard to equal opportunities. The unconference commenced with a series of warm-up questions (see appendix B), which allowed students to vote and observe the wider opinions across all school cohorts on a selection of issues raised in their initial surveys.

Students were then introduced to two speakers who gave brief thoughts on the day's themes in order to open up discussion and encourage deep thinking. Dr Julie Moote from the Aspire2 organisation provided her insights into why some girls fail to pursue STEM subjects in school, highlighting issues such as differential science capital between the working and middle classes and the leaky pipeline analogy; the idea that students drop off the STEM career path at various stages of development. Yvonne Baker shared with the students her experiences of having worked as a woman in the male-dominated world of engineering. She suggested that the over emphasis of barriers to women did more harm than good and gave the students a range of questions to consider, such as what key actions need to be taken and are people willing to take the current opportunities at present?

Students ended the morning session by breaking into discussion groups and addressing questions devised from their survey responses. From these discussions, further questions were generated by the students themselves, with each student engaging in three conversations with different groups across the day. By the end of the event a total of around 40 student-driven discussions had taken place on varying subjects surrounding STEM being a 'level playing field'.

The day ended with students assembling together to discuss the emerging themes with a series of panellists from across the STEM sector. This panel consisted of Nancy Wilkinson from the Wellcome Trust, Jessica Rosin from the Institute of Physics and Professor Julia Buckingham, Vice Chancellor of Brunel University.

Throughout the event, students were able to tweet using the #Riunconference twitter hashtag and see their and other students' insights appear on screens around the Ri. This process also allowed the thoughts and opinions of delegates to be viewed worldwide on Twitter.
Theme 1 – The under-representation of women in STEM

Delegates in these groups outlined a number of issues both with how STEM is pitched to girls in school and what problems women face in STEM careers:

- Currently, efforts to encourage girls into STEM over-emphasise the barriers and hardships of being in the minority, doing more harm than good.

- Likewise, there was an overall feeling that the prominence given to gender-specific recruiting efforts for STEM subjects in schools was off-putting. Instead, a level approach across genders was more appealing, with gender-specific efforts being dropped.

- It was understood that the current gender-specific targeting was due to fewer female role models in STEM, however with the current system deemed off-putting, this rarity of female role models would persist.

- To address these issues, changing the opinions of the current and older generations of STEM scientists is equally as important as encouraging young girls into STEM; this has yet to be done.

- In addition, marketing STEM careers using stereotypically female angles, such as beauty product development, are more patronising than anything else. Students felt these suggested women can only succeed in feminine study areas.

Quote: Daniel, 15, Bishop Justice – “If women weren’t fearful of being in the minority, there wouldn’t be a minority”

Twitter: @CharrJessica “Gender always gets brought up, it shouldn’t happen”

Twitter: @florianexxxx “@YvonneBaker “We sell engineering like a bad skin cream” I agree, from personal experience”

Theme 2 – The education system and its role in pushing students towards STEM

Whilst delegates largely felt that the feelings and drive of the individual were more important than their experiences in education, there was still a general consensus that the structuring of
the education system, in particular the timing and way in which A-level decisions were made, had a large influence on people entering STEM careers:

- STEM subjects were viewed to be no more difficult than non-STEM subjects, and generally students felt that willpower and enthusiasm were more important to build a successful STEM career than academic achievement.

- Despite this, students felt schools pushed students towards subjects in which they were more likely to attain higher grades, believing this to be an artefact of schools chasing exam results.

- The way in which STEM is assessed, typically under examination, was viewed to benefit those who performed well in exams generally, rather than those with a passion for the subject. A more coursework centred approach was suggested as a fairer alternative.

- Chasing exam results appeared to be a particular problem within private schools compared with state schools, in light of there being a higher pressure to compete with other private schools.

- The disparity in education opportunities between private and state schools was highlighted as an issue, although in line with the general consensus it was believed that opportunities, whilst more limited in state schools, were more freely available. In comparison, some private students believed private school opportunities were limited to the highest achievers.

- Overwhelmingly students felt unprepared when dropping subjects for A-levels, believing there to be a dearth of available information regarding alternative routes into careers and the flexibility of education. Students felt this led to doors being closed to them for later career decisions.

- These issues are confounded by strict university entrance requirements and the general ignorance of alternative qualifications such as BTEC and the International Baccalaureate.

\textit{Quote: Sarah, Chelsea Academy – “I like science because it’s exam-based”}

\textit{Quote: Jack, 18, Midkent College – “Teachers pigeon hole students into subjects based on grades rather than personal choice”}
**Theme 3 – The view of STEM across different cultures**

Culture emerged as a significant discussion point regarding participation in STEM. Facets of British culture and differences between cultures were highlighted as important factors affecting personal career decisions:

- Students felt the media has been too extreme in how it portrays people working in STEM as typically male, elitists and social outcasts. The media should be challenged on this and acknowledge its responsibility in informing public opinion.

- Whilst the image of the male white-coated scientist is changing, the media is only partly responsible and schools have an obligation to better inform students on the range of people and ways in which science is done.

- Culturally, more economically developed countries have a higher emphasis on STEM subjects due to higher education quality. In more economically deprived countries, the 3 R’s (reading, writing and arithmetic) are emphasised above STEM.

- These cultural differences filter down and influence students’ subject and career decisions, driven by differences in science capital between cultures. For example, Asian culture has high science capital due to traditionally increased job security and higher salaries.

- Cultures with low science capital should be targeted with science capital boosting programmes and activities to assist people from these backgrounds into STEM.

**Quote: Sagal, 16, Chelsea Academy – “Family influences are strong early on, then people make their own views on careers later. However by that point some decisions have already been made and doors are closed”**
Theme 4 – Why is diversity in STEM important?

Students collected their thoughts from the day and discussed why the issues raised above were a priority for people working in STEM to strive to improve.

- Equal rights are important for all work sectors and STEM has an obligation to deliver them. Moreover, STEM compared with other sectors has a particular problem with gender imbalance.

- Under-representation of certain demographics puts up barriers to those people, making it harder for those individuals to pursue and succeed in certain careers.

- For example, less diverse working environments are more intimidating to those not in the majority, preventing such individuals from reaching their full potential.

- Inequality in workplaces only works to promote traditional gender roles and cultural stereotypes. As discussed above, students felt these were particular drivers behind differential recruitment into STEM and unequal opportunities.

- Modern science is interdisciplinary and the way in which scientists are recruited actively discourages variety and promotes a limited spectrum of subjects to be studied at A-level. STEM research would benefit from promoting wider study prior to university.

Quote: Chloe, 15, Walthamstow College – “Gender inequality is not just a problem for STEM, but noticeably STEM is failing”.

Quote: Saba, 15, Walthamstow School for Girls – “Less diverse environments are more intimidating to those not in the majority”.

Quote: Jack, 18, Midkent College – “Being from a certain background shouldn’t hold you back from pursuing what you want to do”

Twitter: @LukeMorton – “I agree we make choices too early and do not study enough variety, science is inter-disciplinary in the 21C”
Concluding remarks

The goals of the Unconference are to inform and inspire debate amongst young people on the real world issues that face the STEM sector. The Unconference also aims to provide a listening platform for which student's ideas can be presented and shared with policy makers and practitioners from across STEM. The 2015 Unconference prompted a range of discussions on the various inequalities in opportunities and the reasonings behind these. Students drove debates on equal opportunities in terms of gender, culture and financial background.

The issues raised should be of use to anyone who is interested in the thoughts and experiences of young people studying STEM. Informal feedback from students on the day, as well as Twitter comments, demonstrates that students relished the opportunity to discuss these themes, and taking part in the Unconference opened their eyes to the wider issues surrounding STEM careers.

Twitter: @piuna_0708 – “Enjoying the conversations and wide range of perspectives :)

Twitter: @zakiarther – “hearing people's different opinions and experiences made me rethink some of my ideas”

Twitter: @somegjujxjxwkr – “Met some very cool people with very interesting views on whether science does give equal opportunities to both genders :)

Twitter: @SheWhoWritess – “#RiUnconference was really eye opening, had a great time”

For reference

A Storify article with tweets from participating delegates, outside observers and Ri staff using the #RiUnconference hashtag can be found at https://storify.com/ri_science/l-0
Appendix A

Pre-unconference survey questions:

- What preconceptions exist in subject choices in your school?
- What preconceptions do your group feel exist in early years/pre school education?
- What misunderstandings and preconceptions exist in society as a whole in relation to STEM?
- What barriers does your group think exist in the STEM workplace?
- What changes do you feel have taken place to ‘level the STEM careers playing field’ and which of these have had a positive or negative impact?

Appendix B

Live voting questions:

- What has the greatest influence on your career choices?
- How difficult are STEM subjects compared with non-STEM subjects?
- Are single sex schools an advantage for STEM careers?
- What are the most popular STEM careers?

Appendix C
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